Partial suppression of nonadiabatic transitions

Tomáš Opatrný

Optics department, Palacký University Olomouc, Czech Republic

STA, Shanghai, July 2014

obr/upline.png

Partial suppression of nonadiabatic transitions

Outlook

- Adiabatic processes, exact compensation
- Partial compensation of nonadiabatic transitions
- Examples: interacting spins, two bosons in two wells, expanding potential well, atoms with Rydberg blockade
- Discussion: further prospects of the method



Partial suppression of nonadiabatic transitions

Dynamic vs. adiabatic transport



Adiabatic processes and exact compensation

Evolution under time-dependent Hamiltonian

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt}|\Psi
angle = H_0(t)|\Psi
angle$$
 (1)

expand

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{n} a_n(t) e^{i\theta_n(t)} |n(t)\rangle$$
 (2)

with instantaneous eignestates

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{0}(t)|n(t)\rangle &= E_{n}(t)|n(t)\rangle \qquad (3) \\ \theta_{n}(t) &= -\int_{0}^{t}\frac{E_{n}(t')}{\hbar}dt' \qquad (4) \end{aligned}$$

Evolution under time-dependent Hamiltonian

$$i\hbar\sum_{n}\dot{a_{n}}e^{i\theta_{n}}|n\rangle + \sum_{n}a_{n}E_{n}e^{i\theta_{n}}|n\rangle + i\hbar\sum_{n}a_{n}e^{i\theta_{n}}|\dot{n}\rangle = \sum_{n}a_{n}E_{n}e^{i\theta_{n}}|n\rangle$$
(5)

multiplying with $\langle k |$:

$$\dot{a_k} = -\sum_n a_n e^{i(\theta_n - \theta_k)} \langle k | \dot{n} \rangle$$
 (6)

Adiabatic processes and exact compensation

Evolution under time-dependent Hamiltonian Time derivative of $H_0|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle$:

$$\dot{H}_0|n\rangle + H_0|\dot{n}\rangle = \dot{E}_n|n\rangle + E_n|\dot{n}\rangle$$
 (7)

Multiply with $\langle k |$ with $k \neq n$:

$$\langle k | \dot{H}_0 | n \rangle + \langle k | H_0 | \dot{n} \rangle = E_n \langle k | \dot{n} \rangle, \tag{8}$$

$$\langle k|H_0|n\rangle + E_k \langle k|n\rangle = E_n \langle k|n\rangle, \qquad (9)$$

$$\langle k | \dot{n} \rangle = \frac{\langle k | H_0 | n \rangle}{E_n - E_k}$$
 (10)

Therefore

$$\dot{a_k} = -a_k \langle k | \dot{k} \rangle - \sum_{n \neq k} a_n e^{i(\theta_n - \theta_k)} \frac{\langle k | \dot{H}_0 | n \rangle}{E_n - E_k}$$
(11)

Evolution under time-dependent Hamiltonian Adiabatic approximation:

$$\frac{\langle k | \dot{H}_0 | n \rangle}{E_n - E_k} \bigg| T \ll 1, \tag{12}$$

$$\dot{a_k} \approx -a_k \langle k | \dot{k} \rangle$$
 (13)
 $a_k(t) \approx a_k(0) e^{i\gamma(t)}$ (14)

Geometric phase γ :

$$\gamma(t) = i \int_0^t \langle k(t') | \dot{k}(t') \rangle dt'$$
 (15)

Evolution under time-dependent Hamiltonian Apply an additional Hmiltonian

[Demirplak and Rice, J. Phys. Chem. A **107**, 9937 (2003); Berry, J. Phys. A Math. Theor. **42**, 365303 (2009)] :

$$H_{B} = i\hbar \sum_{n} \left(|\dot{n}\rangle \langle n| - |n\rangle \langle n|\dot{n}\rangle \langle n| \right)$$
(16)

System starting in eigenstate $|n\rangle$ of H_0 , evolving under $H = H_0 + H_B$ stays **exactly** in eigenstate $|n\rangle$ of H_0 .

Partial compensation

What if H_B is not available in the lab?

Partial compensation

What if H_B is not available in the lab?

But we have couple of other controllable Hamiltonians instead: L_1, L_2, \ldots

Task: Keep system as close as possible to eigenstate $|0(t)\rangle$ of $H_0(t)$. Choose suitable $\alpha_1(t), \alpha_2(t) \dots$, the system evolves under Hamiltonian

$$H = H_0 + \alpha_1 L_1 + \alpha_2 L_2 \dots = H_0 + H_C$$
(17)

Task: Keep system as close as possible to eigenstate $|0(t)\rangle$ of $H_0(t)$. Choose suitable $\alpha_1(t), \alpha_2(t) \dots$, the system evolves under Hamiltonian

$$H = H_0 + \alpha_1 L_1 + \alpha_2 L_2 \cdots = H_0 + H_C \tag{17}$$

Task: Keep system as close as possible to eigenstate $|0(t)\rangle$ of $H_0(t)$. Choose suitable $\alpha_1(t), \alpha_2(t) \dots$, the system evolves under Hamiltonian

$$H = H_0 + \alpha_1 L_1 + \alpha_2 L_2 \cdots = H_0 + H_C \tag{17}$$

Minimize norm of vector $(H_C - H_B)|0\rangle$,

Task: Keep system as close as possible to eigenstate $|0(t)\rangle$ of $H_0(t)$. Choose suitable $\alpha_1(t), \alpha_2(t) \dots$, the system evolves under Hamiltonian

$$H = H_0 + \alpha_1 L_1 + \alpha_2 L_2 \dots = H_0 + H_C$$
(17)

Minimize norm of vector $(H_C - H_B)|0\rangle$,

Task: Keep system as close as possible to eigenstate $|0(t)\rangle$ of $H_0(t)$. Choose suitable $\alpha_1(t), \alpha_2(t) \dots$, the system evolves under Hamiltonian

$$H = H_0 + \alpha_1 L_1 + \alpha_2 L_2 \dots = H_0 + H_C$$
(17)

Minimize norm of vector $(H_C - H_B)|0\rangle$, i.e., minimize

$$\langle 0 | \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k L_k - H_B \right) \left(\sum_{k'=1}^{K} \alpha_{k'} L_{k'} - H_B \right) | 0 \rangle.$$
 (18)

Task: Keep system as close as possible to eigenstate $|0(t)\rangle$ of $H_0(t)$. Choose suitable $\alpha_1(t), \alpha_2(t) \dots$, the system evolves under Hamiltonian

$$H = H_0 + \alpha_1 L_1 + \alpha_2 L_2 \dots = H_0 + H_C$$
(17)

Minimize norm of vector $(H_C - H_B)|0\rangle$, i.e., minimize

$$\langle 0 | \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k L_k - H_B \right) \left(\sum_{k'=1}^{K} \alpha_{k'} L_{k'} - H_B \right) | 0 \rangle.$$
 (18)

Partial compensation

Minimising the quadratic form by solving linear equations:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} A_{m,k} \alpha_k = C_m, \tag{19}$$

where

$$A_{m,k} = \langle L_m L_k + L_k L_m \rangle, \qquad (20)$$

$$C_k = \langle L_k H_B + H_B L_k \rangle, \qquad (21)$$

(mean values calculated in state $|0(t)\rangle$)

T. Opatrný and K. Mølmer, New J. Phys. 16 015025 (2014).

$$H_0 = -B(\sigma_x^{(1)} + \sigma_x^{(2)}) + J\sigma_z^{(1)}\sigma_z^{(2)}$$
(22)

Eigenstates:

$$\begin{array}{l} | \rightarrow \rightarrow \rangle \; (\text{for } |B/J| \gg 1) \\ | \uparrow \downarrow \rangle + | \downarrow \uparrow \rangle \; (\text{for } |B/J| \ll 1). \end{array} \\ H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} J & -B & -B & 0 \\ -B & -J & 0 & -B \\ -B & 0 & -J & -B \\ 0 & -B & -B & J \end{pmatrix}. \end{array}$$

(23)

With parametrization

$$J = A\sin\varphi \tag{24}$$

$$B = \frac{A}{2}\cos\varphi \tag{25}$$

eigenvectors

$$\begin{split} |\phi_{1}\rangle &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1+\sin\varphi}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi\\ 1+\sin\varphi\\ 1+\sin\varphi\\ \cos\varphi \end{pmatrix}, \qquad |\phi_{2}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 1\\ -1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ |\phi_{3}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ 0\\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad |\phi_{4}\rangle &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1+\sin\varphi}} \begin{pmatrix} 1+\sin\varphi\\ -\cos\varphi\\ -\cos\varphi\\ 1+\sin\varphi \end{pmatrix}. \quad (26) \end{split}$$

Berry Hamiltonian

$$H_B = i\hbar |\dot{\phi}_1\rangle \langle \phi_1| + i\hbar |\dot{\phi}_4\rangle \langle \phi_4|, \qquad (27)$$

$$H_{B} = \frac{\hbar\dot{\varphi}}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & -i & 0\\ i & 0 & 0 & i\\ i & 0 & 0 & i\\ 0 & -i & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar\dot{\varphi}}{4} \left(\sigma_{y}^{(1)}\sigma_{z}^{(2)} + \sigma_{z}^{(1)}\sigma_{y}^{(2)}\right).$$
(28)

Partial compensation

$$L = \rho \sigma_y^{(1)} \sigma_z^{(2)} + q \sigma_z^{(1)} \sigma_y^{(2)}.$$
 (29)

$$\langle \phi_1 | L^2 | \phi_1 \rangle = (q+p)^2,$$
 (30)

$$\langle \phi_1 | L H_B + H_B L | \phi_1 \rangle = (q+p) \hbar \dot{\varphi},$$
 (31)

$$\alpha = \frac{\langle \phi_1 | LH_B + H_B L | \phi_1 \rangle}{2 \langle \phi_1 | L^2 | \phi_1 \rangle} = \frac{\hbar \dot{\varphi}}{2(q+p)}.$$
 (33)

$$H_{C} = \alpha L = \hbar \dot{\varphi} \frac{p \sigma_{y}^{(1)} \sigma_{z}^{(2)} + q \sigma_{z}^{(1)} \sigma_{y}^{(2)}}{2(q+p)}.$$
 (34)

Original Hamiltonian:

$$H_0 = -B(t) \sum_{j=1}^4 \sigma_x^{(j)} + J_0 \sum_{j=1}^3 \sigma_z^{(j)} \sigma_z^{(j+1)}.$$
 (35)

Parameter change: $B(t) = B_0 \exp(-2.4t/t_0)$

State change from $|\to\to\to\to\rangle$ to $|\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle+|\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$

For adiabatic transition: t_0 must be large.



Figure: Eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian (35).

Possible choice of compensating operators:

$$L_1 = \sigma_y^{(1)} \sigma_z^{(2)} + \sigma_z^{(3)} \sigma_y^{(4)}, \qquad (36)$$

$$L_2 = \sigma_z^{(1)} \sigma_y^{(2)} + \sigma_y^{(3)} \sigma_z^{(4)}, \qquad (37)$$

$$L_{3} = \sigma_{y}^{(2)}\sigma_{z}^{(3)} + \sigma_{z}^{(2)}\sigma_{y}^{(3)}, \qquad (38)$$

$$L_4 = \sigma_z^{(1)} \sigma_y^{(4)} + \sigma_y^{(1)} \sigma_z^{(4)}.$$
(39)



Figure: Fidelity of the evolved state



Figure: Compensation parameters $\alpha_1 - \alpha_4$ of the scheme with 4 operators.



Figure: Compensation parameters α_1 and α_3 of the scheme with 2 operators.

Further prospects:

- More spins, more general interactions: any general rules?
- Applications in trapped-ion experiments: any particular sets of compensating operators?
- Systematic approach to generate compensating operators:
 H. Saberi, A. del Campo, T. Opatrný, K. Mølmer "Adiabatic Tracking of Quantum Many-Body Dynamics"



Figure: Compensation parameters with 10 spins.



Figure: Compensation fidelity, 6 particles plus 3-body interactions.

Motivation: transition between the superfluid state and the Mott insulator.

$$H_0 = \frac{U}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} n_j (n_j - 1) - J \left(a_1 a_2^{\dagger} + a_1^{\dagger} a_2 \right)$$
(40)

With two particles, states $|2,0\rangle$, $|1,1\rangle$, and $|0,2\rangle$:

$$H_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} U & -\sqrt{2}J & 0 \\ -\sqrt{2}J & 0 & -\sqrt{2}J \\ 0 & -\sqrt{2}J & U \end{pmatrix}$$
(41)

Parametrization:

$$U = E_0 \cos \varphi, \qquad (42)$$

$$J = \frac{E_0}{4} \sin \varphi, \qquad (43)$$

Hamiltonian:

$$H_{0} = E_{0} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi & -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\sin\varphi & 0\\ -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\sin\varphi & 0 & -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\sin\varphi\\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\sin\varphi & \cos\varphi \end{pmatrix}$$
(44)

with the eigenenergies

$$E_1 = \frac{E_0}{2}(\cos\varphi - 1), \qquad (45)$$

$$E_2 = E_0 \cos \varphi, \tag{46}$$

$$E_3 = \frac{E_0}{2}(\cos \varphi + 1),$$
 (47)

Eigenvectors

$$|\phi_{1}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1-\cos\varphi} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{1+\cos\varphi} \\ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1-\cos\varphi} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (48)$$
$$|\phi_{2}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (49)$$
$$|\phi_{3}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+\cos\varphi} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{1-\cos\varphi} \\ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+\cos\varphi} \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (50)$$

Exact compensation:

$$H_B = \frac{\hbar \dot{\varphi}}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0\\ -i & 0 & -i\\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (51)

Simple form, but challenging to realize experimentally.

Alternative approach, "Lie transforms": [S. Martinez-Garaot, E. Torrontegui, Xi Chen, and J. G. Muga, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 053408 (2014)]

Example: particle in an expanding box

Infinitely deep box, one wall moving:

$$H_0 = \frac{p^2}{2m} + U(x)$$
 (52)

with

$$U(x) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{for } x < 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } 0 < x < D(t), \\ \infty & \text{for } D(t) < x. \end{cases}$$
(53)

Berry compensation: solved by Jarzynski [arXiv:1305.4967 (2013)]

$$H_B = \frac{\dot{D}}{2D}(xp + px). \tag{54}$$

Example: particle in an expanding box

If H_B is not available, another option:

$$H_C = \frac{\dot{D}}{2}p.$$
 (55)



Figure: Particle in expanding box.

Spin squeezing Example: two-level atoms



Spin squeezing

Example: two-level atoms Single-atom operators:

$$S_x = \frac{1}{2}(|a\rangle\langle b| + |b\rangle\langle a|),$$

$$S_y = \frac{i}{2}(-|a\rangle\langle b| + |b\rangle\langle a|),$$

$$S_z = \frac{1}{2}(|a\rangle\langle a| - |b\rangle\langle b|).$$

Spin squeezing

Example: two-level atoms Many atoms:

$$\vec{J} = \sum_{k} \vec{S_k}$$

$$J_x = \frac{1}{2} (a^{\dagger}b + ab^{\dagger}),$$

$$J_y = \frac{i}{2} (a^{\dagger}b - ab^{\dagger}),$$

$$J_z = \frac{1}{2} (a^{\dagger}a - b^{\dagger}b),$$

$$a, a^{\dagger} = [b, b^{\dagger}] = 1$$

$$x, J_y = -iJ_z$$

Spin squeezing

Example: many two-level atoms





Spin squeezing

Many two-level atoms Poincare sphere



Spin squeezing Many two-level atoms

PHYSICAL REVIEW A

VOLUME 50, NUMBER 1

JULY 1994

Squeezed atomic states and projection noise in spectroscopy

D. J. Wineland, J. J. Bollinger, and W. M. Itano Time and Frequency Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Boulder, Colorado 80303

D. J. Heinzen

Physics Department, University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78712 (Received 11 January 1994)

We investigate the properties of angular-momentum states which yield high sensitivity to rotation. We discuss the application of these "squeezed-spin" or correlated-particle states to spectroscopy. Transitions in an ensemble of N two-level (or, equivalently, spin- $\frac{1}{2}$) particles are assumed to be detected by observing changes in the state populations of the particles (population spectroscopy). When the particles' states are detected with 100% efficiency, the fundamental limiting noise is projection noise, the noise associated with the quantum fluctuations in the measured populations. If the particles are first prepared in particular quantum-mechanically correlated states, we find that the signal-to-noise ratio can be improved over the case of initially uncorrelated particles. We have investigated spectroscopy for a particular case of Ramsey's separated oscillatory method where the radiation pulse lengths are short compared to the time between pulses. We introduce a squeezing parameter $\xi_{\rm s}$ which is the ratio of the statistical uncertainty in the determination of the resonance frequency when using correlated states vs that when using uncorrelated states. More generally, this squeezing parameter quantifies the sensitivity of an angularmomentum state to rotation. Other squeezing parameters which are relevant for use in other contexts can be defined. We discuss certain states which exhibit squeezing parameters $\xi_R \simeq N^{-1/2}$. We investigate possible experimental schemes for generation of squeezed-spin states which might be applied to the spectroscopy of trapped atomic ions. We find that applying a Jaynes-Cummings-type coupling between the ensemble of two-level systems and a suitably prepared harmonic oscillator results in correlated states with $\xi_P < 1$.

Spin squeezing

Gross et al., Nature 464, 1165 (2010)



Spin squeezing

Gross et al., Nature 464, 1165 (2010)



Spin squeezing

Riedel et al., Nature 464, 1170 (2010)



Spin squeezing

Riedel et al., Nature 464, 1170 (2010)



Rydberg atom

Excited atom with large principal number n

size
$$\sim n^2$$
 ($\sim 0.3 \ \mu m$ for $n \approx 80$)

Ifetime
$$\sim$$
 n^3 - $n^{4.5}$ (\sim 600 μ s for $n \approx$ 80)



Rydberg atom Rydberg blockade: resonance transitions





Rydberg atom

Rydberg blockade: resonance transitions



Gaetan et al., Nature Physics 5, 115 (2009)

Jaynes - Cummings model

A single two-level atom and a single-mode quantum field

$$H_{JC} = ga^{+}\sigma_{-} + g^{*}a\sigma_{+}$$

$$\sigma_{+} = |b\rangle\langle a|$$

$$\sigma_{-} = |a\rangle\langle b|$$

- Photon generation and atom deexcitation
- Photon absorption and atom excitation

Jaynes - Cummings model

A single two-level atom and a single-mode quantum field Squeezing of the field



G. Banacloche, PRL 65, 3385 (1990); picture from JMO 40, 2361 (1993).

Spin squeezing and Schrödinger cat generation in atomic samples with Rydberg blockade



T. Opatrný and K. Mølmer, PRA 86, 023845 (2012)

Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H_{JC1} &= \Omega_1 a \sigma_+^{(1)} + \Omega_1^* a^{\dagger} \sigma_-^{(1)} \\ H_{JC2} &= \Omega_2 b \sigma_+^{(2)} + \Omega_2^* b^{\dagger} \sigma_-^{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

- Initialize the state
- Act with the Hamiltonian
- Rotate the state

Results



Statistics of the atomic states $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$ (64 atoms)

Results



Q-function of the resulting state (64 atoms)

Adiabatic squeezing: Hamiltonian eigenstates

$$\begin{split} |\psi_{+,+}^{(n_a,n_b)}\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \left(|n_a, n_b, 0, 0\rangle + |n_a - 1, n_b, 1, 0\rangle \right. \\ &+ |n_a, n_b - 1, 0, 1\rangle + |n_a - 1, n_b - 1, 1, 1\rangle \right), \\ |\psi_{+,-}^{(n_a,n_b)}\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \left(|n_a, n_b, 0, 0\rangle + |n_a - 1, n_b, 1, 0\rangle \right. \\ &- |n_a, n_b - 1, 0, 1\rangle - |n_a - 1, n_b - 1, 1, 1\rangle \right), \\ |\psi_{-,+}^{(n_a,n_b)}\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \left(|n_a, n_b, 0, 0\rangle - |n_a - 1, n_b, 1, 0\rangle \right. \\ &+ |n_a, n_b - 1, 0, 1\rangle - |n_a - 1, n_b, 1, 0\rangle \\ &+ |u_{-,-}^{(n_a,n_b)}\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \left(|n_a, n_b, 0, 0\rangle - |n_a - 1, n_b, 1, 0\rangle \right. \\ &- |n_a, n_b - 1, 0, 1\rangle + |n_a - 1, n_b, 1, 0\rangle \end{split}$$

Adiabatic squeezing: Eigenenergies

$$\begin{array}{lll} E_{+,+}^{(n_a,n_b)} &=& \Omega_{JC} \left(\sqrt{n_a} + \sqrt{n_b} \right), \\ E_{+,-}^{(n_a,n_b)} &=& \Omega_{JC} \left(\sqrt{n_a} - \sqrt{n_b} \right), \\ E_{-,+}^{(n_a,n_b)} &=& \Omega_{JC} \left(-\sqrt{n_a} + \sqrt{n_b} \right), \\ E_{-,-}^{(n_a,n_b)} &=& \Omega_{JC} \left(-\sqrt{n_a} - \sqrt{n_b} \right). \end{array}$$

Adiabatic squeezing: Combine Hamiltonian

$$H = uH_{JC} + (1-u)J_x$$



BUT PROBLEM: LINES TOO CLOSE!











Discussion, open questions

- Any general rule for the spin systems?
- Applicability in trapped ion systems?
- Is there any possibility for compensation of nonadiabatic processes in superfluid — Mott insulator transitions?
- Any suitable approximative methods for large systems (Hilbert space expands, impossible to solve exactly)?
- So far optimization for a single state |0>. Any possibility for optimization of more states? What about qubit?

Summary

- Adiabatic processes robust, but slow. Speeding up means transitions to unwanted states.
- Additional Hamiltonian H_B can fully compensate nonadiabatic transitions. Easy to compute, but often impossible to produce in a lab.
- Partial compensation using available operators L_k : need to have nonzero averages $\langle L_k H_B + H_B L_k \rangle$ in the wanted state $|0\rangle$.
- Several examples: paramagnetic vs. antiferomagnetic spin interactions, expanding box, atoms with Rydberg blockade.
- Many open questions remain.

Squeezing with Rydberg blockade

Thanks for your attention!

