## Achieving quantum-limited optical resolution

#### <u>Jaroslav Rehacek</u>, Zdenek Hradil, Martin Paur, and Bohumil Stoklasa

Palacky University, Olomouc, Czech Republic

#### Luis L. Sánchez-Soto

Universidad Complutense, Madrid, Spain

## two-point resolution

- two mutually incoherent point sources
- imaging linear system
- PSF point spread function



- unlimited resources = unlimited resolution for any PSF
- what can be achieved with limited resources?

### efficient unbiased estimators

#### CCD detection – CRLB (classical limit)



- separations well below the R.L. are difficult to estimate
- super-resolution is expensive

## efficient unbiased estimators ...

#### optimal detection - "quantum" CRLB (quantum limit)



- two-point resolution is reduced to localization (PALM, astrometry)
- super-resolution is easy, at least in theory ...

M. Tsang et al. PRX 2016

## biased estimation

- CRLB applies to unbiased estimators only
- biased estimators can (significantly) violate the CRLB
- consider estimating the separation from a single detection event



#### biased estimation...

- this produces biased estimates
- quantum limit is violated for almost all separations!



### biased estimation...

- meaningful estimators have finite error at s = 0
- biased estimators should be considered
- no simple bounds on biased estimators available
- many copies = negligible bias (except for  $s \approx 0$ )
- hence analysis based on the CRLB makes sense in this limit

### optimal measurements

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{impulse response} \quad \psi(x) = \langle x | \psi \rangle & \text{momentum} \\ & \swarrow & \swarrow & \swarrow & \swarrow \\ \text{true state} \quad \rho \propto |\psi_1\rangle \langle \psi_1| + |\psi_2\rangle \langle \psi_2|, \quad |\psi_{1,2}\rangle = e^{\pm i P s/2} |\psi\rangle \end{array}$ 

small separations  $s \ll 1$  (for generalization, see Zdenek's talk)

eigenbasis

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_{+}\rangle \propto |\psi_{1}\rangle + |\psi_{2}\rangle \approx |\psi\rangle \\ |\psi_{-}\rangle \propto |\psi_{1}\rangle - |\psi_{2}\rangle \approx \frac{P|\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{\mathcal{F}}} \\ \mathcal{F} \approx \langle \psi | P^{2} |\psi\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Helstrom: Quantum detection and estimation theory 1976

## optimal measurements...

optimal measurement: 2-member POVM

projections on

• impulse response

 $\langle \boldsymbol{x} | \boldsymbol{\psi}_{+} \rangle = \boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x})$ 

• response derivative

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x} | \boldsymbol{\psi}_{-} \rangle = \frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x})'}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}}}$$

example: Gaussian PSF

- impulse response  $\psi(\mathbf{x}) \propto \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{x})$
- response derivative  $\psi'(x) \propto H_1(x)$

### experimental setup

- signal preparation DMD
- projection cross-correlation
- detection EMCCD
- data read from two pixels in the Fourier plane



#### results: Gaussian and sinc PSFs



#### results...



#### VIEWPOINT

# Unlocking the Hidden Information in Starlight

Quantum metrology shows that it is always possible to estimate the separation of two stars, no matter how close together they are.

#### by Gabriel Durkin\*

Regarding impact on the field, the authors' study produced a flurry of generalizations and other experimental proposals. During the past six months there have been four proof-of-principle experiments, first in Singapore by Tsang's colleague Alex Ling and collaborators [6], and then elsewhere in Canada and Europe [7–9]. A subsequent theory [7] F. Yang, A. Taschilina, E. S. Moiseev, C. Simon, and A. I. Lvovsky, "Far-Field Linear Optical Superresolution via Heterodyne Detection in a Higher-Order Local Oscillator Mode," arXiv:1606.02662.

[8] W. K. Tham, H. Ferretti, and A. M. Steinberg, "Beating Rayleigh's Curse by Imaging Using Phase Information," arXiv:1606.02666.

[9] M. Paur, B. Stoklasa, Z Hradil, L. L. Sanchez-Soto, and J. Pehacek, "Achieving Quantum-Limited Optical Resolution," arXiv:1606.08332.



#### Achieving the ultimate optical resolution

#### MARTIN PAÚR,<sup>1</sup> BOHUMIL STOKLASA,<sup>1</sup> ZDENEK HRADIL,<sup>1</sup> LUIS L. SÁNCHEZ-SOTO,<sup>2,3,\*</sup> AND JAROSLAV REHACEK<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Optics, Palacký University, 17. listopadu 12, 771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic <sup>2</sup>Departamento de Óptica, Facultad de Física, Universidad Complutense, 28040 Madrid, Spain <sup>3</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für die Physik des Lichts, Günther-Scharowsky-Straße 1, Bau 24, 91058 Erlangen, Germany \*Corresponding author: Isanchez@fis.ucm.es

Received 6 July 2016; revised 31 August 2016; accepted 3 September 2016 (Doc. ID 269908); published 12 October 2016

#### conclusions

- resolution of two mutually incoherent point sources was discussed
- optimal 2-channel measurement attaining the quantum CRLB in the super-resolution regime was derived
- this measurement was experimentally realized with a digital holography setup
- estimator variances 12dB below the classical limit were observed